SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD December 14-17, 1999

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
12-14	EX	75/347,040	BSB Bank & Trust	Cissel Hairston Chapman*	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed		"BSB TELEPHONE TELLER" (and design) [banking services by phone]	"BSB" (in stylized form, with design) [banking services]	DuBois	No
12-14	EX	75/247,109	The Peak Tech- nologies Group, Inc.	Hanak* Hohein Holtzman	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed (as to both cited marks)		"NUCLEUS STOCK AUDIT" [computer software designed to manage warehouse inventories and computer hardware used therewith, namely, bar code readers and user manuals sold therewith]	"NUCLEIS" [computer programs used in connection with managing information for equipment tracking, maintenance processing and materials processing]; "NUCLEUS" [computer programs and computer programs with data processing apparatus, all for use with a computer for data management]	Smith	No
12-14	EX	75/293,016	Kartal Besin Maddeleri Pazarlama Ve Ticaret A.S.	Hairston Bucher* McLeod	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed		"VERDE" (in stylized lettering) [processed olives, olive oil and pickles; raw olives]	"VERDI" [specialty vinegar]	DuBois	No
12-14	OPP	104,859	George H. Fuller & Son Co. v. Thomas A. Wheeler	Hanak Chapman* Bucher	2(d)	Opposition Dismissed	stylized letter "F" inside a stylized letter "O" [jewelry findings]	stylized letter "F" in a circle design [hand crafted jewelry and custom designed jewelry]			No
12-14	EX	75/006,702	Stanley D. Ference III	Quinn Hohein Bucher*	2(a) [false suggestion of a connection]	Refusal Reversed		"BLITZBURGH" [hats, t-shirts, and sweatshirts]		Zak	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD December 14-17, 1999 (continued)

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn. No.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
12-14	EX	75/176,890	Bernhardt Furniture Co.	Cissel Wendel* Bucher	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed		"VISIONS" [furniture, namely, modular furniture components for high-end corporate offices, featuring a unique wire management enhancement and conference tables with wire handling capabilities]	"VISION BUSINESS PRODUCTS" (and design) [distributorship services in the field of business office and computer products, supplies and furniture; mail order services in the field of business, office and computer product supplies and furniture]	Fickes	No
12-16	EX	75/055,823	Transgo, Inc.	Seeherman* Chapman Bucher	genericness; whether applicant's mark is distinctive under Section 2(f)	Refusal Affirmed (but only on basis of lack of 2(f) distinctive- ness, not on genericness ground)		"REPROGRAMMING KIT" [automotive transmission valve body components, namely, separator plates and springs; automotive transmission parts, namely, separator plates and springs, sold together as a unit; automatic transmission valve body parts sold as a unit for installation in the valve bodies of vehicle automatic transmissions; and valve body kits comprising separator plates and springs for installation in the valve bodies of vehicle automatic transmissions]		Gaynor	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD December 14-17, 1999 (continued)

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
12-16	EX	75/226,831	The All American Gourmet Co.	Cissel Chapman* Rogers	Section 6 disclaimer requirement (of word GOURMET)	Refusal Affirmed		"ALL FAMILY GOURMET" (and design) [entrees, dinners, and side dishes, principally consisting of meat, poultry, fish, shellfish, seafood, cheese, vegetables, rice or pasta]		Front	No
12-16	EX	74/528,633	Wireless Unified Network Systems Corp.	Simms Seeherman* Bottorff	2(e)(1)	Refusal Affirmed		"WIRELESS UNIFIED NETWORK SYSTEMS CORPORATION" [telecommunications services, namely, providing wireless network communications services using a sub-orbital high altitude communications network that is integrated with a land based communications network]		Glynn	No
12-17	OPP	96,834	The Hoover Co. v. Royal Appliance Mfg. Co.	Cissel Walters* Bucher	2(d); 2(a) [deceptive]; 2(e)(1) [deceptively mis- descriptive]	Opposition Dismissed	"NUMBER ONE IN FLOORCARE" [electric vacuum cleaners]	"THE FIRST NAME IN FLOORCARE" [electrical vacuum cleaners for both domestic and industrial use]			No
12-17	EX	75/237,611	Wagner Spray Tech Corp.	Cissel Quinn* Walters	2(d)	Refusal Affirmed (as to all marks cited)		"LUMBERJACK" (in stylized lettering) [electric powered chain saws, electric powered limb trimmers, electric powered sharpeners for chain saws]	"LUMBER JACK," "LUMBERJACK," and "LUMBERJACK'S" [all three marks for lumberyard, construction material outlet, and hardware store services,]	Baxley	No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member

SUMMARY OF FINAL DECISIONS ISSUED BY THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD December 14-17, 1999 (continued)

Date Issued	Type of Case(1)	Proceeding or Appn. No.	Party or Parties	TTAB Panel(2)	Issue	TTAB Decision	Opposer's or Petitioner's Mark and Goods or Services	Applicant's or Respondent's Mark and Goods or Services	Mark and Goods Cited by Examining Attorney	Examining Attorney	Citable as Precedent of TTAB
12-17	OPP OPP OPP OPP OPP OPP	102,173 103,135 104,078 104,173 104,181 104,193 104,323	QVC, Inc. v. Home Shopping Network, Inc.	Hairston* Walters Chapman	whether the words HOME SHOPPING, as they appear in applicant's marks, are generic for its services; if not, whether the words are merely descriptive; and, if so, whether they have become distinctive of applicant's services under Section 2(f)	Opposition Sustained as to applicant's four marks for entertain- ment services and television broad- casting services; Opposition Dismissed as to applicant's three marks for licensing or credit card services		"HSN HOME SHOPPING NETWORK" and "HSN HOME SHOPPING NETWORK" (and design) [both marks for entertainment services in the nature of an ongoing television program whereby viewers are informed of various goods that can be purchased by the viewers]; "HSN HOME SHOPPING NETWORK" (and design) and "HOME SHOPPING CLUB" [both marks for licensing services, namely, providing cable and broadcast television operators and broadcasters a license to show television programs]; "HSN HOME SHOPPING NETWORK" (and design) [television broadcasting services]; "HSN HOME SHOPPING NETWORK" (and a different design from those above) [television broadcasting services; entertainment services, etc.]; "HOME SHOPPING NETWORK" [credit card services]			No

⁽¹⁾ EX=Ex Parte Appeal; OPP=Opposition; CANC=Cancellation; CU=Concurrent Use; (SJ)=Summary Judgment; (MD)=Motion to Dismiss; (MR)=Motion to Reopen; (R)=Request for Reconsideration

^{(2) *=}Opinion Writer; (D)=Dissenting Panel Member